

November 12th, 2012 (#1213)
Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt November 12th, 2012:

Know What it Was For,
The Secret U.N. War:

**"You May Catch On, Think Your Mind has Flipped,
When You Realize You're Living Through a Script
Drafted Long Ago by "Special Interest" Players
Who Started Foundations, Thick with Layers
Of Think Tanks, Whose Reports without Hesitation
Are Signed by Heads of State for Implementation,
Five to 100 Year Plans it was Decided,
For Different Attacks They Specialized, Divided
War on Cultural History, Family, and the Nation,
Professors Taught Marxism for Re-orientation,
Massive Funding to Fronts for "Radical Change",
Nothing Left Sacred, Norms Re-arranged,
A War on Peoples by Media, Soft and Hard Means,
Until We Stand Conquered, Nothing What it Seems"
© Alan Watt November 12th, 2012**

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - November 12th, 2012 (Exempting Music, Literary
Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, **INTERNAL** postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on the 12th of November, 2012. For newcomers, as always, I suggest you make awfully good use of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. On the website you'll find a whole bunch of authorized sites listed there; they all carry free audios for download, and I go through the history of the big system that you're born into, the one that's very, very deceptive, that runs by deception, in fact. And it runs your media, it runs your educational system, it writes your textbooks for universities and junior schools as well. These guys control the world, have done for a long time, and they're bringing in their new world order, where an elite, the 'proper' kind of people, will run the world 'properly' and no more personal choices on anything—it will all be done for you by experts as you grow up and all through your life you'll be trained and monitored and so on and so on: all very well laid out, actually, in their own plans. These are the same guys who set up the United Nations, by the way, and they set up the powerful banking institutions, which they own and run, across the whole planet. They said that they would use the banks eventually in the last part of this integration of the world as the big stick, with the IMF and so on. So, they've never ever let us down: they tell us what they're going to do, and the public don't read the books of course (they're too boring) and they actually pull it all off—and we're living through it today. So, help yourself to the website; as I say, make good use of it.

Remember too, you are the listeners that bring me to you. I don't bring on any advertisers as guests, or celebrities who don't know any more than you do, to impress you, and I just try to give you the basic facts as they are: not terribly pleasant, not entertaining for sure; I just give you the facts and let you deal with them (at least the ones who want to) and prepare for their futures ahead. Whatever kind of future you think you might have, you should really be preparing for it now in fact because the world as you know it is finished and we're off in a completely different direction now, a direction, as I say, planned well over a hundred years ago—actually it goes into centuries—when you go into the details of it and the ones that built on even the Enlightenment, right up to the present. They knew exactly where they wanted to take this world, run by incredible deception—and here we are going through it all, and all they have to do now is train you into going into austerity and doing without, very little and being happy and very stupid: they want to make you very, very stupid. They've actually admitted they've dropped your IQ points down quite a bit; they're awfully gleeful about that.

So you can donate to me and buy the books I have, hopefully, and keep me going by purchasing at cuttingthroughthematrix.com website. From the U.S. to Canada you can use Personal Checks, International Postal Money Orders from the Post Office, or you can send cash, or use PayPal. And across the world, remember, you've got: Western Union, Money Gram, and PayPal. Remember, straight donations are awfully, awfully welcome because I don't get advertising money from anybody, or have shares in anything that's sold, so it's up to you to keep me going.

And as I've said, I've always known what was going on, unlike some people who didn't who pretend to, but I really have always known what was going on even as a child because we did have good libraries in Britain—that was one good thing about it. And they had very good selections of top authors who were big changers at that time: changers who got together on big world panels to bring in, and change, the cultures of the entire planet, with variations here and there from different countries, but really it's all the same variations in the long run as they brought down all...in fact, they implemented all of the planks of the Communist Manifesto. These guys at the top employed communists and capitalists since the capitalists own both of them, by the way. And you're now seeing the effect of their war. You can't get change at all in society without a conflict with an opposition, so they create the opposition, people choose sides as always, but you end up getting changed through, and this is what they

mean by it: this is the dialectic which they use, and they always end up on the road that they planned in the first place: it works awfully well.

Back with more after this break...

Hi folks, I'm back. We're Cutting Through the Matrix, which is full of deception; that's why I chose the "Matrix" really, just like the movies: you think you've arrived at the main place and there's more places beyond, to the left, to the right, above you, below you, all around because you're run with incredible deception. Your whole life has been deception in fact: even those things that you take for hobbies are all given to you and promoted to you through awfully slick marketing and advertising. And we're under a scientific dictatorship, in other words.

Now, years ago and many times since, I've mentioned the New American Century Project which laid out in the 90's (twice actually: in '92 and then '98 they revamped it) and they had the list of all the countries they wanted the U.S. to take out on behalf of Israel. And they talked about starting off with Afghanistan and then Iraq and so on. And Israel still pushed —immediately after Iraq, they wanted the U.S. to go into Syria, straight into Syria after that and then Egypt; it's got a different order today but it's the same agenda. And I've mentioned that they published it and you can always count on what they plan, you see. And lo and behold, one of the articles (which I already had from years ago —there's quite a few articles on it— but one of them I had) has come out and resurfaced again from 2002 from the Guardian. And it says...

Playing skittles with Saddam

(Alan: That was one of the ones: it came out in 2002. And he talks about...)

In a televised speech last week, President Hosni Mubarak of Egypt predicted devastating consequences for the Middle East if Iraq is attacked.

(A: He says...)

"We fear a state of disorder and chaos may prevail in the region," he said. Mr Mubarak is an old-fashioned kind of Arab leader and, in the brave new post-September-11 world, he doesn't quite get the point.

What on earth did he expect the Pentagon's hawks to do when they heard his words of warning? Throw up their hands in dismay? - "Gee, thanks, Hosni. We never thought of that. Better call the whole thing off right away."

They are probably still splitting their sides with laughter in the Pentagon. But Mr Mubarak and the hawks do agree on one thing: war with Iraq could spell disaster for several regimes in the Middle East. Mr Mubarak believes that would be bad. The hawks, though, believe it would be good.

(A: And it goes on and on. They're talking about "war hawks" of course, which covers a broad range of people, actually, inside and outside the U.S. It talks about, it says...)

The hawks claim that President Bush has already accepted their plan and made destabilisation of "despotic regimes" a central goal of his foreign policy. They cite passages from his recent speeches as proof of this, though whether Mr Bush really knows what he has accepted is unclear. The "skittles

theory" of the Middle East - that one ball aimed at Iraq can knock down several regimes - has been around for some time on the wilder fringes of politics but has come to the fore in the United States on the back of the "war against terrorism".

Its roots can be traced, at least in part, to a paper published in 1996 by an Israeli thinktank, the Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies. Entitled "A clean break: a new strategy for securing the realm", it was intended as a political blueprint for the incoming government of Binyamin Netanyahu. As the title indicates, it advised the right-wing Mr Netanyahu to make a complete break with the past by adopting a strategy "based on an entirely new intellectual foundation, one that restores strategic initiative and provides the nation the room to engage every possible energy on rebuilding Zionism ..."

Among other things, it suggested that the recently-signed Oslo accords might be dispensed with - "Israel has no obligations under the Oslo agreements if the PLO does not fulfil its obligations" - and that "alternatives to [Yasser] Arafat's base of power" could be cultivated. "Jordan has ideas on this," it added.

It also urged Israel to abandon any thought of trading land for peace with the Arabs, which it described as "cultural, economic, political, diplomatic, and military retreat".

"Our claim to the land - to which we have clung for hope for 2,000 years - is legitimate and noble," it continued. "Only the unconditional acceptance by Arabs of our rights, especially in their territorial dimension, 'peace for peace', is a solid basis for the future."

The paper set out a plan by which Israel would "shape its strategic environment", beginning with the removal of Saddam Hussein and the installation of a Hashemite monarchy in Baghdad.

With Saddam out of the way and Iraq thus brought under Jordanian Hashemite influence, Jordan and Turkey would form an axis along with Israel to weaken and "roll back" Syria. Jordan, it suggested, could also sort out Lebanon by "weaning" the Shia Muslim population away from Syria and Iran, and re-establishing their former ties with the Shia in the new Hashemite kingdom of Iraq. "Israel will not only contain its foes; it will transcend them", the paper concluded.

To succeed, the paper stressed, Israel would have to win broad American support for these new policies - and it advised Mr Netanyahu to formulate them "in language familiar to the Americans by tapping into themes of American administrations during the cold war which apply well to Israel".

At first glance, there's not much to distinguish the 1996 "Clean Break" paper from the outpourings of other right-wing and ultra-Zionist thinktanks ... except for the names of its authors.

The leader of the "prominent opinion makers" who wrote it was Richard

Perle - now chairman of the Defence Policy Board at the Pentagon.

Also among the eight-person team was Douglas Feith, a neo-conservative lawyer, who now holds one of the top four posts at the Pentagon as under-secretary of policy.

Mr Feith has objected to most of the peace deals made by Israel over the years, and views the Middle East in the same good-versus-evil terms that he previously viewed the cold war. He regarded the Oslo peace process as nothing more than a unilateral withdrawal which "raises life-and-death issues for the Jewish state".

Two other opinion-makers in the team were David Wurmser and his wife, Meyrav

(A: And it says...)

(see US thinktanks give lessons in foreign policy, August 19). Mrs Wurmser was co-founder of Memri, a Washington-based charity that distributes articles translated from Arabic newspapers portraying Arabs in a bad light.

(A: Does it ever. It's actually part of the Mossad's Secret Service, actually.)

After working with Mr Perle at the American Enterprise Institute, David Wurmser is now at the State Department, as a special assistant to John Bolton, the under-secretary for arms control and international security.

A fifth member of the team was James Colbert, of the Washington-based Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs (Jinsa) - a bastion of neo-conservative hawkery whose advisory board was previously graced by Dick Cheney (now US vice-president),

(A: That was when it was written.)

John Bolton and Douglas Feith.

(A: And then it goes on to say that...)

One of Jinsa's stated aims is "to inform the American defence and foreign affairs community about the important role Israel can and does play in bolstering democratic interests in the Mediterranean and the Middle East". In practice, a lot of its effort goes into sending retired American military brass on jaunts to Israel -

(A: Which they pay for.)

after which many of them write suitably hawkish newspaper articles or letters to the editor.

Jinsa's activities are examined in detail by Jason Vest in the September 2 issue of The Nation. The article notes some interesting business relationships between retired US military officers on Jinsa's board and

American companies supplying weapons to Israel.

With several of the "Clean Break" paper's authors now holding key positions in Washington, the plan for Israel to "transcend" its foes by reshaping the Middle East looks a good deal more achievable today than it did in 1996. Americans may even be persuaded to give up their lives to achieve it.

(A: As I mentioned, they wrote all this in 1996, and this was published in 2002.)

The six-year-old plan for Israel's "strategic environment" remains more or less intact, though two extra skittles - Saudi Arabia and Iran - have joined Iraq,

(A: So they've added more countries to it...)

Syria and Lebanon

(A: As well, are on the list.)

on the hit list.

Whatever members of the Iraqi opposition may think, the plan to replace Saddam Hussein with a Hashemite monarch - descendants of the Prophet Muhammad who rule Jordan - is also very much alive. Evidence of this was strengthened by the surprise arrival of Prince Hassan, former heir to the Jordanian throne, at a meeting of exiled Iraqi officers in London last July.

The task of promoting Prince Hassan as Iraq's future king has fallen to Michael Rubin, who currently works at the American Enterprise Institute but will shortly take up a new job at the Pentagon, dealing with post-Saddam Iraq.

One of the curious aspects of this neo-conservative intrigue is that so few people outside the United States and Israel take it seriously. Perhaps, like President Mubarak, they can't imagine that anyone who holds a powerful position in the United States could be quite so reckless.

(A: What they mean is shining a light on the fact that the U.S. and the Pentagon is compromised —so is an awful lot of the people in the Congress, too, with dual citizenships and so on.)

But nobody can accuse the neo-conservatives of concealing their intentions: they write about them constantly in American newspapers. Just two weeks ago, an article in the Washington Times by Tom Neumann, executive director of Jinsa, spelled out the plan in clear, cold terms:

"Jordan will likely survive the coming war with US assistance, so will some of the sheikhdoms. The current Saudi regime will likely not.

"The Iran dissident movement would be helped enormously by the demise of Saddam, and the Palestinians would have to know that the future lies with the West. Syria's Ba'athist dictatorship will likely fall unmourned, liberating

Lebanon as well.

"Israel and Turkey, the only current democracies in the region, will find themselves in a far better neighbourhood." Would anyone like to bet on that?

Because true enough now they've got Turkey on the hit list as well. So, you see, there's nothing happening today that wasn't planned years ago: you're living through a planned agenda. It's rather straightforward. It's been mentioned many, many times (I've mentioned it here on this air), the whole plan with all the takeout lists on them —and this is only the partial list by the way: they've got other countries beyond the Middle East to take out too as we go into this World Order on behalf of whoever you think is in charge of it. And I'll put this link up tonight to show you this one from 2002. I've also got a few ones from the 1990's as well, but I'll put this one up tonight. And also you can look into Wikipedia on the particular group, which is called...

A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm

A: And you can look that up yourself. I'll put the links up at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. So, it's quite something when you go through it all. You're living through an agenda, and of course you're all meant to believe, by the media —that's actually owned by the same people who run the wars and run everything else for this new world order—the media is meant to make you think that things just happen: one country after another shouts "boo" and you have to attack them: but nothing is further from the truth.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back. We're Cutting Through the Matrix. And, I've never really ever bothered voting —for anybody— because I've always known it's been a farce, of course, because I've read the big books by the institutions that run the world: and they always get their way. But even if they gave you a kind of pseudo reality with voting they get their own boy in anyway because all top guys are members of the Council on Foreign Relations: that's the rule, you see —across the whole planet. So it doesn't really matter who they put in. The same agenda rolls forward: all the treaties that they signed through the United Nations carry on; you'll never see a party coming in and tearing any of them up, from the last party, will you? they won't do it, it never happens, so you're living through a straight agenda. And they're all on board with the same things now anyway —so what's the difference? They all want global taxes. They all want carbon taxes. They want value added taxes and austerity for all of you. And they're all on board with it. So, don't be conned too when they pull out conmen that have been lifelong politicians who always run for so long and then drop out at the last minute and then fool the folk over and over again —these guys are lifers for God's sake, you know: they're dynasties in politics but they always take a bunch of folk off with them and earn lots of cash (pour lots of cash in for the running and it's never told where it all goes), but they always pull them out every so often for the people to follow as, you know, a scapegoat and they're left hanging again as always. All the cons -all the cons- I've seen them just too many times for my whole life in different countries. It's the same too with this article here. It says, on the cover of Newsweek...

Newsweek Cover: "GOP: You're Old, You're White, You're History"

A: And it was posted November the 8th [2012], it says, and Obama has conquered, and that's what it says, "you're old, you're white, you're history." And so they really have, you understand, there's been a whole war against every culture, actually, all peoples,

because you can't have a culture and a "peoples" anymore in an international multiculturalist society. And there are big organizations out there who have come out publicly and said so. And they have their front people out boasting about it quite openly. So, anyway, I'll put this up tonight too for those who care or don't know.

And, an article took my eye too: this one here... It says...

Airport Groppers

(A: The people who grope you at the airports, take offense when you compare them to Jimmy Savile.)

Take Offence Being Compared to Jimmy Savile

A: Now that everybody knows about Jimmy Savile being a perv and groping folk all the time, and lots more like him of course in the same cabal; and this guy puts out an article about himself, every time when he leaves the UK through one of the Gestapo airport facilities he's stopped at random and he gets awfully fed up with getting stopped at random. And so he actually brought up that thing, and he said, you know, you're all 'Saviles' here, and that really annoyed them and shook them up a little bit. So, folk should keep that up and just keep mentioning it and call them 'Saviles' because they're all pedophiles and groppers, perverts: that's what they are, folks. Folk who do that for a job are obviously into it.

And also tonight too, I'll put up, there was a V for Vendetta march (I don't know who was running it really) on parliament the 5th of November and it didn't really make much impact on the news—or any at all. But there was an awful lot of folk that turned up to it, certainly, and they had some fun and there was no trouble, but they certainly are really pissed off at the way these farcical governments pretend to run us. I'll put that up tonight too.

Also, this article is from the Appeals Court. It says...

Appeals Court: Tortured US Whistleblowers Can't Sue

(A: It says...)

The 7th US Circuit Court of Appeals has thrown out a lawsuit by two US whistleblowers, Donald Vance and Nathan Ertel, who were tortured by the US military after coming forward with evidence of wrongdoing by the contracting company they were working for.

The court ruled that US military commanders "enjoy broad immunity" in cases of torture abroad and that the military chain of command "couldn't be responsible" just because detainee abuse crossed a legal boundary. The ruling added that torturing detainees is "a part of human nature that is very difficult to control." They added that being liable for the torture would "distract" the military's leadership.

(A: So...)

US Court of Appeals Judge James Gwim had previously rejected Obama Administration arguments to this effect, saying that torture lawsuits could

continue against officials and that US citizens were always entitled to due process related to their detention. The administration condemned Gwim for “second-guessing” the military.

Vance and Ertel approached the government about an illegal program dubbed “beer for bullets,” in which the company they were working for smuggled liquor into Iraq to trade to US soldiers for their weapons and ammo, and then sold those weapons on the open market. When the company learned they were whistleblowers, they had their papers confiscated and the military captured them when they attempted to return to the US.

A: So there’s no point in trying to be a good guy anymore: they’ll just torture you; that’s the message there. You understand, there is no “old morality” anymore: that’s done away with. And it’s been worked on for an awful long time. You’re down to degeneration: degenerated people —and that was always the plan, as I’ve read here many times over.

Now, they can’t get enough injections into children, to make sure they’re dumbed down and so on. And so many studies come out now admitting that, that the IQ points are way down and the people have no attention span at all. But they’re not happy enough yet. If you’ve got an enemy – the enemy is the world’s people – then you have to really make them all dumb and stupid so as you can guarantee your success in dominating them all. Anyway...

Babies to get rotavirus vaccine

(A: It says...)

Babies aged two to four months are to get a rotavirus vaccination

All babies are to be vaccinated against a highly infectious bug that is one of the most common causes of diarrhoea in children.

(A: Well everybody got diarrhoea as a child; more so since they started using all the prepared foods from the big business corporations that put all the melamine and stuff in it for you, to make them awfully sick. It says...)

From September next year, infants aged between two and four months will be immunised against rotavirus - which causes diarrhoea, vomiting, abdominal pain, fever and dehydration.

At present, almost every child will have had the viral infection by the age of five.

A: And it’s true enough, you get the thing and you get over it; now you’re immune to it, naturally: it’s not full of these artificial chemicals they’ve all put in you, and bacterium.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, we’re back, Cutting Through the Matrix. And, here’s an article too about vaccines and it’s from the Freedom of Information Act that was filed by someone who wanted to know about vaccines. And it revealed...

...30 years of secret official documents

(A: Existed, which they hadn't shown the public...)

showing that government experts have

1. Known the vaccines don't work
2. Known they cause the diseases they are supposed to prevent
3. Known they are a hazard to children
4. Colluded to lie to the public
5. Worked to prevent safety studies

(A: It says...)

Those are the same vaccines that are mandated to children in the US.

Educated parents can either get their children out of harm's way or continue living inside one of the largest most evil lies in history, that vaccines – full of heavy metals, viral diseases, mycoplasma, fecal material, DNA fragments

(A: There's also lots of aborted fetal tissue in them too, some of them.)

from other species, formaldehyde, polysorbate 80 (a sterilizing agent)...

(A: Is also in there. And it says...)

Freedom of Information Act filed in the US with the CDC by a doctor with an autistic son, seeking information on what the CDC knows about the dangers of vaccines, had by law to be responded to in 20 days. Nearly 7 years later, the doctor went to court and the CDC argued it does not have to turn over documents. A judge ordered the CDC to turn over the documents on September 30th, 2011.

On October 26, 2011, a Denver Post editorial expressed shock that the Obama administration, after promising to be especially transparent, was proposing changes to the Freedom of Information Act that would allow it to go beyond declaring some documents secret and to actually allow government agencies (such as the CDC) to declare some document "non-existent."

(A: To declare that some documents don't exist. In other words, they can lie to the public.)

Simultaneous to this on-going massive CDC cover up involving its primary "health" not recommendation but MANDATE for American children, the CDC is in deep trouble over its decades of covering up the damaging effects of fluoride and affecting the lives of all Americans, especially children and the immune compromised.

(A: Which everybody is now, by the way: everyone's got a vastly lowered immune system.)

Lawsuits are being prepared. Children are ingesting 3-4 times more fluoride by body weight as adults and “[t]he sheer number of potentially harmed citizens — persons with dental fluorosis, kidney patients tipped into needing dialysis, diabetics, thyroid patients, etc — numbers in the millions.”

A: So, they’ve actually known about this for 30-odd years, all of the terrible consequences of their vaccines, but they’ve kept it quiet and secret from the general public. Actually, one came out in Britain with the same list and the terrible consequences which they’ve known they’ve been actually doing —and here we all are. It has to be intentional, you understand: it’s intentional. If you’re going to conquer the world and bring through incredible changes in this “Century of Change,” as they call it, to achieve a goal of world domination (with a lot of stupid people) then you’ve got to make sure they’re going to be stupid, in some way or another; and they’ve been at war with you your whole life and you didn’t know it. They’re still at war with you now — don’t forget it.

And remember too I’ve talked many times about the big boys who helped plan the future, the guys in the 30’s, 40’s, 50’s, 60’s, 70’s, 80’s, 90’s, who gave us all the cultural changes: they planned them step by step, the takedown of the family unit, the hypersexualization of children so as they’d never bond with anybody throughout their entire life and their boss, the only boss they’d respect and obey, would be the government (not a husband, for instance). That’s all been successful. An actual real war has been continued for a long, long time. And Bertrand Russell was one of these guys; he worked with the top agencies on the planet to help plan all of this, and he knew it could work. He said: I could, if I want to get a group of children together, raise them, he says, apart from other children and convince them absolutely that snow was black, he said, and they will believe it for the rest of their lives. What he was showing you is that, literally, they can make you believe anything just by repetition, and a bit of law too to scare you into complying, with new-think, you know: new-think and newspeak —and that’s where we are today; certain things you’re not supposed to talk about. At one time it was impolite to talk about things, and then make it mandatory you can’t talk about certain things in a “free society.” And some folk are allowed to be offended, but you’re not allowed to be offended with them; have you ever wondered about that too? You should all get offended when you want to because you’ve got the same right to do that.

Now, here’s how stupid it all becomes when certain problems that are up in the head obviously are taken because of a political agenda, a social agenda, and they get surgery working on people to clear up something that’s still in their head. A few weeks ago I mentioned about a young boy who had a sex change and now he wants to get turned back. The National Health Service will have to pay for that – meaning, the taxpayers. Here’s an article here, for an old guy —he’s 75 years of age. He says...

I had NHS [National Health Service] sex swap to be a woman... now I’m 75 I want them to put my tackle back

(A: And it says...)

A sex-swap dad told yesterday how he boobed by becoming a woman 23 years ago — and begged the NHS to give him his tackle back.

RAF veteran Gary Norton — now 75 — moaned: “I now realise inside I’m a red-blooded man and always have been.

(A: Well, I wish he’d make his mind up, don’t you? I mean, if they’re really trapped

inside the wrong body, how come you can change again? That means maybe you weren't right the first time and maybe everybody was wrong as well who went along with it? Understand the nonsense that we go through? And he says...)

"I want a physical relationship with a woman but I've got no equipment — it all went in the op. It's one heck of a mistake to make."

The father of four,

(A: He was married before.)

who changed his name to Gillian after his wife and kids disowned him, tried dating [men] but said he never fancied them.

He has now quit taking the female hormones that stopped his beard growing — and is on a waiting list to have the breasts the drugs gave him removed.

(A: Because he went on female estrogen.)

Gary, of Coventry, is pleading with local health chiefs to go the whole hog and fund a full gender reversal op. They have refused.

He insisted: "I feel trapped.

(A: He's trapped again, you see.)

I did my best to embrace it and be a woman.

"I bought bikinis and nice dresses, spent time on my hair and make-up and always had lovely manicured nails.

"But the operation essentially left me a lesbian."

(A: How could he be a lesbian?)

Despite joining a ladies' yoga class and even modelling bikinis in a fashion show, he said: "My life's a nightmare."

(A: So is the taxpayers', you know, these days —it's a nightmare.)

Gary told how he now realises that the secret cross-dressing he did behind his wife's back before the NHS op was as far as he really ever wanted to go.

A: And it's amazing, eh. You know, he would've been better if he'd never seen a mirror perhaps, yeah, and he could've imagined things and all that; it would be a lot cheaper for everybody in the long run. But, you see, you know: it's a big agenda, of course —a big, big agenda; the big boys at the top understand all of it, you don't. You don't understand why this big agenda is on the way —they do— to destroy all that was. And remember I've mentioned before Theodor Adorno, who helped create a lot of your culture as well, and the music you were going to get, right down to degradation, he said, and nihilism and schizophrenia would go into necrophilia; and there's lots of things out there on necrophilia today. So they always keep their words, these big boys: you can take it to the bank (because they own that too). Anyway, it says...

SPAIN'S banks have announced they will freeze mortgage-related evictions for two years in cases of extreme need as a public outcry mounted over suicides by desperate homeowners.

(A: There's so many folk, in other words, being tossed out of their homes that it's looking too bad and they're expecting too much of a backlash, so they're trying to cut back a little bit.)

The lenders reacted after two suicides in 15 days by indebted homeowners facing expulsion in Spain, where both banks and borrowers were hammered by a 2008 property crash.

(A: Like we all were.)

The deaths shocked a country already weary of tough austerity measures in the midst of recession and record unemployment, and the news sent thousands into the streets in anti-bank protests.

As the uproar grew, Prime Minister Mariano Rajoy's right-leaning government was to hold a rare meeting with the opposition Socialists to discuss proposals to combat the evictions crisis.

(A: Why don't they just chuck the banks out and start your own ones up? Why would you keep the same system going? You understand: this whole world system depends on keeping the same system, at the top, going —because they run it, you see; they run everything, it's called 'chaos,' and out of the chaos they bring order, and they still run it after that: that is the plan.)

The Spanish Banking Association noted "social alarm created by mortgage-related evictions" and said it had informed the government on November 8 of its members' decision.

(A: Now here's a good one...)

The banks had agreed "for humanitarian reasons and within a framework of social responsibility,

(A: These guys who plundered you all are humanitarians at heart, really, with social responsibilities.)

to halt repossessions during the next two years in those cases that involve extreme need"...

(A: Well, what is "extreme need" according to the bankers? Having a roof over your head and not killing yourself worrying about keeping it there, you know— I'd say that's extreme need. No one's safe anymore, you see. Anyway...)

The decision was hammered out after a "deep and intense debate among associated banks..."

A: So the crooks all got together and came up with the idea of just holding off a little bit before you go in and mass-evict them all.

And, this article too is about genetic privacy. We don't have any privacy, but of course you know that; they've been collecting your genetic material for 30, 40 years now.

Supreme Court justices are to meet privately Friday to weigh whether they will hear a major genetic-privacy case testing whether authorities may take DNA samples from anybody arrested for a serious crime.

The case has wide-ranging implications, as at least 21 states and the federal government have regulations requiring suspects to give a DNA sample upon arrest. In all the states with such laws, DNA saliva samples are cataloged in state and federal crime-fighting databases.

(A: Must be awfully slimy databases, eh?)

The issue confronts the government's interest in solving crime, balanced against the constitutional rights of those arrested to be free from government intrusion.

The case before the justices concerns a decision in April of Maryland's top court, which said it was a breach of the Fourth Amendment right against unreasonable search and seizure to take DNA samples from suspects who have not been convicted.

(A: I think Canada went through that too long ago and lost that as well, because it's all the same agenda worldwide, you see.)

The Maryland Court of Appeals, that state's highest court, said that arrestees have a "weighty and reasonable expectation of privacy against warrantless, suspicionless searches" and that expectation is not outweighed by the state's "purported interest in assuring proper identification" of a suspect.

A: Well, it doesn't really matter because you're under a world system which is totalitarian and they want everyone's DNA. So I'll put this article up tonight as well for anybody who is involved in it. Probably there are a lot of folk down there who are up for the same thing.

And, this article too came out just before the election. It says...

... The fact that both President Obama and Mitt Romney are calling for increases to the defense budget (in the latter case, above what the military has asked for) is further proof that the military is the true "third rail" of American politics. In this strange universe where those without military credentials can't endorse defense cuts, it took a former chairman of the Joint Chiefs, Adm. Mike Mullen, to make the obvious point that the nation's ballooning debt was the biggest threat to national security.

Uncritical support of all things martial is quickly becoming the new normal for our youth. Hardly any of my students at the Naval Academy remember a time when their nation wasn't at war. Almost all think it ordinary to hear of drone strikes in Yemen or Taliban attacks in Afghanistan. The recent revelation of counterterrorism bases in Africa elicits no surprise in them, nor

do the military ceremonies that are now regular features at sporting events. That which is left unexamined eventually becomes invisible, and as a result, few Americans today are giving sufficient consideration to the full range of violent activities the government undertakes in their names.

(A: It says...)

Were Eisenhower alive, he'd be aghast at our debt, deficits and still expanding military-industrial complex. And he would certainly be critical of the "insidious penetration of our minds" by video game companies and television networks, the news media and the partisan pundits. With so little knowledge of what Eisenhower called the "lingering sadness of war" and the "certain agony of the battlefield," they have done as much as anyone to turn the hard work of national security into the crass business of politics and entertainment.

A: And I'll put that up tonight too because it's all true.

And that's what I've said too; they've had articles in the military magazines too: perpetual war, constant conflict, etcetera, etcetera. And I said that years ago too; even at nine-eleven I said a generation will grow up never knowing anything except totalitarianism; the good guys are the guys who are armed to the teeth and wearing black on the side of the government and everybody else is just one of the cattle —the general population: that's where we are today: winners and losers, you see. And that's how the children see it; that's all they've known.

And this article too is to do with the United Nations. Every so often they make another push and push and push to get us acclimatized to what's coming along the pike. And once again, here they are again out wanting control of a "web kill switch" it says here.

United Nations wants control of web kill switch

(A: And they want to control it all, of course.)

AN unfettered internet, free of political control and available to everyone could be relegated to cyber-history under a contentious proposal by a little known United Nations body.

Experts claim that Australians could see political and religious websites disappear if the Federal Government backs a plan to hand control over the internet to the UN's International Telecommunications Union (ITU).

A draft of the proposal, formulated in secret and only recently posted on the ITU website for public perusal, reveal that if accepted, the changes would allow government restriction or blocking of information disseminated via the internet and create a global regime of monitoring internet communications - including the demand that those who send and receive information identify themselves.

It would also allow governments to shut down the internet if there is the belief that it may interfere in the internal affairs of other states or that information of a sensitive nature might be shared.

(A: Well, that will definitely be the end of it, or we'll be back talking about sports again —sports, sports, sports— because that's where it's all going. Anyway...)

Telecommunications ministers from 193 countries will meet behind closed doors in Dubai next month to discuss the proposal, with Australia's Senator Stephen Conroy among them.

The move has sparked a ferocious, under-the-radar diplomatic war between a powerful bloc of nations, led by China and Russia, who want to exert greater controls on the net and western democracies determined to preserve the free-wheeling, open architecture of the World Wide Web.

(A: Because they control most of the propaganda.)

The battle for control has also seen a cartel of telco corporations join forces to support amended pricing regulations changes which critics warn will pave the way for significant increases in the cost of day-to-day internet use, including email and social media.

(A: They actually want to license everybody, as you know, who has got a website —and maybe even license you too for even sending emails out.)

While Senator Conroy said this morning he would not be supporting any changes to the current arrangements, the decisions made by other powers could also have a huge impact on Australian web users.

"We don't believe the existing system needs any significant or radical change. We don't believe a case has been made at all," a spokesman for Senator Conroy told News Ltd.

A: It says. But, if so many folk are meeting to do with it, you know there's something bigger than this going on about it.

Now, this article too. It's amazing, as I've mentioned before, about neuroscience and terminology —neurolinguistics too. You see, words can confuse you, convince you, and guide you, actually: these are sciences. Orators know this, they knew this in ancient Greece, how stringing the 'proper' words together will bring you to the conclusion that they want you to have, and all propaganda is based on that, and marketers are experts on this too. I've talked about the guys at the top who set you to 'default' positions: they've trained you to feel guilt when you hear certain words —that's trigger mechanisms— so you have these Pavlovian responses that make you blush, or whatever —even though you've done nothing wrong: just thinking about something, you've been trained to blush and you'll blush away. Well, I'll read about this, the "expanding thematic group" just to show you what's happening with that, from the United Nations.

Back after this...

Hi folks, we're back and Cutting Through the Matrix. There's actually a caller on the line, it's Milan from New York. Hello, are you there?

Milan: Hi Alan, good evening. How are you tonight?

Alan: Not too bad.

Milan: Ok. I'm listening to your show tonight and something came to my mind, and I think that it's very important. I watched, a couple of days ago, a documentary about consumerism. And I was thinking about that, about how I think that's a very important part because when I just think about my grandparents, they didn't operate how we do today, most of the people today, that progression to stimulants. We just operate on stimulants. And that's, I think, a very important part to bring all that agenda today, what we are. Yes. I'm looking, and I was thinking, in the Western world, here in America, but when I'm looking back I'm coming from ex-Yugoslavia: the same happened there. So, generations of people who finished, in the 50's and 60's, colleges and schools, they are totally brainwashed and they don't have a moral stance and ethic what the previous generation had.

Alan: Yes.

Milan: And, that's, I think, a very important thing.

Alan: Yeah. People don't realize that when the United Nations was set up they had the big treaty signed at San Francisco in 1946, and every country signed on to that, but they signed on to what was really, basically, a technique to use the communistic methods over the whole world to bring down every country into this brave new world scenario that was going to emerge out of it all, once you destroy all nations, etcetera. But part of it was given to special groups, as I say, like Theo Adorno and his group, people like that, who worked to find ways, on all the cultures of the world; they studied all cultures and they found the fastest ways to bring them down, all in the same kind of direction, into degeneracy, basically. And then the State would grow in power as they took over all of the fallout: you know, mothers without fathers, and you'd need subsidies from the government and governmental agencies to come in and help them—all that kind of stuff. It was all worked out when they even signed that charter, in 1946, to take down the whole world and then the government would be boss and then eventually the super government—the world government—would be complete boss over everything. So, everything that's happened today was written about even long before they signed that charter. If you read the old, say, Fabian books that were put out by the Fabian Society in England—and these guys literally had direct access to the Kremlin, and here they are in England—and who was funding them, you found it was the Astor family, Lord Astor, and a whole bunch of top bankers. So, you're living through one system: there are no real sides in this system: it's just one agenda using the dialectic to get everyone onto the road and fighting along the way so that they can get the synthesis to come out of it.

Out of every conflict you get a synthesis. And that's what they're after. That's what communism was based on: if you want change, you've got the thesis: that's static; so you create the antithesis: which is the opposition; then you get conflict, and out of the conflict they have compromise: a new type of way (which is the synthesis). —And that's what they're after.

They've worked this beautifully since 1946 on the population of the whole planet, and now you have no morals (except what the state authorizes you) and what the state authorizes you is degeneracy: because everything that had to be—that made it work—had to be destroyed. And here you are: it is destroyed, you know.

Milan: And now what the problem is, you cannot help yourself anymore, because when you're degenerate and people are degenerate they cannot go back to the previous time on how it was.

Alan: That's right!

Milan: That's the whole problem.

Alan: That's it.

Milan: And most of them, they don't even want to hear it.

Alan: They don't want to see it; they're addicted to their degeneracy, you see: that's the problem.

Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself, from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[2002 Article with Designated Middle-Eastern Countries to be Destroyed](#)

[A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm](#)

[Newsweek---'You're Old, You're White, You're History'](#)

[When Security Gropers Stop You at Airports--Call them "Jimmy Saviles"](#)

[Anonymous V for Vendetta Protest England](#)

[Tortured US Whistleblowers Can't Sue](#)

[Babies to be Given Rotavirus Vaccine](#)

[Vaccine Hoax](#)

[75yr. old Man Wants His "Gear" Back After Removal 23yrs. Ago to Try and Look Like a Woman](#)

[Spain---Banks and Evictions](#)

[Supreme Court Weighing Genetic Privacy](#)

[Permanent Militarization of America](#)

[UN Pushing for Internet Control](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

[BOOKS](#) "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

CDs

Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs:

Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"